



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,595	07/30/2003	Emmanuelle Moisy	11016-0017	6220
22902 CLARK & BR	7590 02/14/2007 ODY		EXAMINER	
1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW SUITE 250 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			REDMAN, JERRY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
	,		3634	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,595	MOISY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jerry Redman	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have been ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/629,595

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 11-13, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weimar (4,542,610). As shown in Figure 7, Weimar (610) discloses a weatherstrip having a "rigid" thermo plastic reinforcing clip (4) having a web and two connecting jaws and at least one reinforcing means (18 is the bore and 20 is a wire reinforcement) located any where along the reinforcing clip (4) (column 5, lines 19-37). Weimar (610) further discloses a retaining abutment (51) and an accommodating lip (the bottom portion in Figure 7 and/or the lip 40 as shown in Figure 6).

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 14, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gopalan et al. (2002/0144466A1). As shown in Figure 13, Gopalan et al. (2002/0144466A1) disclose a weatherstrip having a "rigid" thermo plastic reinforcing clip (10) formed of polypropylene and having a web and two connecting jaws with at least one planar reinforcing means (43 and/or 60) located along the jaw, a retaining abutment (the ridge portion situated between the jaws).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/629,595

Art Unit: 3634

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-13, 15, 16, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guillon (4,970,102) in view of Weimar ('610). As shown in Figure 4, Guillon ('102) discloses a weatherstrip (40) having a "rigid" thermo plastic reinforcing clip having a web (54) and two connecting jaws connected thereto, sealing lips 44 and 45) connected to the jaws, an abutment means (52) engaging the flange portions. Guillon ('102) fails to disclose a reinforcing means located in at least one of the jaws. Weimar ('610) discloses the use of reinforcing means (18) located at any position along a weatherstrip (column 5, line 19-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the weatherstrip of Guillon ('102) with a reinforcing means as taught by Weimar ('610) since a reinforcing means provides extra rigidity to the weatherstrip along portions which need strengthened.

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. It appears that the applicant's arguments are more limiting than that of the claims. The applicant argues the phraseology "rigid". According to www.dictionary.com, the phraseology (second definition) "rigid" is defined as "firmly fixed or set." As discussed in detail above, the weather-stripping above is formed of "rigid" plastic. The applicant has failed to provide specific structural differences other than relying on the phraseology "rigid" which, in its broadest interpretation, reads on the applicant's invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,595

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 571-272-6835.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner